UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K CURRENT REPORT

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of report (Date of earliest event reported): June 8, 2023

Verastem, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

001-35403

(Commission File Number) 27-3269467 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

02494

(Zip Code)

117 Kendrick Street, Suite 500, Needham, MA (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (781) 292-4200

(Former Name or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

U Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

Delaware

(State or Other Jurisdiction

of Incorporation)

Soliciting material pursuant or Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
 Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

□ Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

 Trading

 Title of each class
 Symbol(s)
 Name of each exchange on which registered

 Common stock, \$0.0001 par value per share
 VSTM
 The Nasdaq Capital Market

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an emerging growth company as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933 (§230.405 of this chapter) or Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (§240.12b-2 of this chapter).

Emerging growth company \Box

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Item 7.01 Regulation FD Disclosure

On June 8, 2023, Verastem, Inc. posted its corporate presentation on its website, a copy of which is furnished hereto as Exhibit 99.1 to this Current Report on Form 8-K.

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits

 Exhibit No.
 Description

 99.1
 Corporate Presentation, dated June 8, 2023

 104
 Cover Page Interactive Data File (embedded within the Inline XBRL document)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

VERASTEM, INC.

By: /s/ Brian M. Stuglik Brian M. Stuglik Chief Executive Officer

Dated: June 8, 2023





Corporate Presentation June 2023

Disclaimers

This presentation includes forward-looking statements about, among other things, Verastem Oncology's programs and product candidates, including anticipated regulatory s performance and potential benefits of Verastem Oncology's product candidates, as well as Verastem Oncology's potential income under its asset purchase agreement with s borrowings under its credit facility, that are subject to substantial risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied Applicable risks and uncertainties include the risks and uncertainties, among other things, regarding: the success in the development and potential commercialization of our including defactinib and other compounds in combination with avutometinib (VS-6766); the occurrence of adverse safety events and/or unexpected concerns that may arise analysis or result in unmanageable safety profiles as compared to their levels of efficacy; or our ability to obtain, maintain and enforce patent and other intellectual property product candidates.

Other risks and uncertainties include those identified under the heading "Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 20 Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on March 14, 2023, and in any subsequent filings with the SEC, which are available at www.sec.gov and www.verastem.com.

The forward-looking statements in this presentation speak only as of the original date of this presentation, and we undertake no obligation to update or revise any of these

This presentation contains references to our non-GAAP operating expense, a financial measure that is not calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting prin ("GAAP"). This non-GAAP financial measure excludes certain amounts or expenses from the corresponding financial measures determined in accordance with GAAP. Man non-GAAP information is useful for investors, taken in conjunction with the Company's GAAP financial statements, because it provides greater transparency and period-ove with respect to the Company's operating performance and can enhance investors' ability to identify operating trends in the Company's business. Management uses these me factors, to assess and analyze operational results and trends and to make financial and operational decisions. Non-GAAP information is not prepared under a comprehensiv rules and should only be used to supplement an understanding of the Company's operating results as reported under GAAP, not in isolation or as a substitute for, or superi information prepared and presented in accordance with GAAP. In addition, this non-GAAP financial measure is unlikely to be comparable with non-GAAP information prov companies. The determination of the amounts that are excluded from non-GAAP financial measures is a matter of management judgment and depends upon, among other f the underlying expense or income amounts. Reconciliations between these non-GAAP financial measures and the most comparable GAAP financial measures are included ir slides in this presentation on which a non-GAAP number appears.

Certain information contained in this presentation relates to or is based on studies, publications, surveys and other data obtained from third party sources and Verastem O estimates and research. While Verastem Oncology believes these third party sources to be reliable as of the date of this presentation, it has not independently verified, and representation as to the adequacy, fairness, accuracy or completeness of, any information obtained from third party sources. In addition, all of the market data included in the involves a number of assumptions and limitations, and there can be no guarantee as to the accuracy or reliability of such assumptions.



Verastem Oncology Well Positioned to Capitalize on Growth Opportunitie

Avutometinib (VS-6766; RAF/MEK clamp) and defactin inhibitor) are clinically active against RAS pathway-dri		
FDA Breakthrough Therapy Designation; Update RAMP 201 trial show a confirmed objective response patients with recurrent low-grade serous ovarian canc avutometinib and defactinib; target enrollment was ach timing of accelerated approval filing to be based on da finalization of confirmatory study plans		
 30% of all human cancers are driven by mutatio Avutometinib combinations potentially broadly applica variety of tumor types. Clinical collaborations with Amgen & Mirati eva combinations of avutometinib with sotorasib & adagra in KRAS G12C NSCLC supported by strong pre-clinic Multiple clinical studies in progress evaluating avu combinations across RAS pathway-driven cancers 		
Recently issued intermittent dosing IP for both avuton avutometinib + defactinib extends patent coverage up		
Cash balance of \$111.2 million as of March 31, 2023		
Up to \$150 million of non-dilutive funding available fre		
Company ended QI 2023 with \$15.7 million GAAP o		

* QI 2023 GAAP operating expenses - \$15.71M plus change in FV of preferred stock tranche liability of \$3.43 minus QI \$1.31M = \$17.83M QI 2023 non-GAAP operating expenses

We are a biopharmaceutical company committed to developing and commercializing new medicines for patients battling cancer



Avutometinib is a Differentiated Agent with the Potential to Serve a Backbone for Combinations Across RAS Pathway-Driven Cancers

- Unique RAF/MEK clamp mechanism of action
- · Novel intermittent dosing schedule; convenient oral regimen
- Breakthrough Therapy Designation for combination of avutometinib and defactinib for treatment of rec grade serous ovarian cancer (LGSOC) after one or more prior lines of therapy including platinum-base chemotherapy
- Potential best-in-class safety & tolerability profile relative to marketed MEK inhibitors, with potential fo combinability with agents from multiple target classes
- Promising signals of clinical activity in various RAS pathway-driven cancers, including in patients whose a previously progressed on other MEK inhibitors
- Preclinical anti-proliferative activity across multiple MAPK pathway alterations (e.g. KRAS, NRAS, BRAF, multiple solid tumor indications
- Strong preclinical combination data with other agents targeting RAS pathway (e.g. KRAS G12C inhibito pathways (e.g. FAK inhibitors)



RAF-Rapidly accelerated fibrosarcoma MEK-Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase RAS-Rat sarcoma virus MAPK-Mitogen-activated protein kinase KRAS-Kirsten rat sarcoma virus NRAS-Neuroblastoma RAS viral oncogene homolog BRAF-v-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1 NF1-Neurofibromatosis type 1

Strong Scientific Rationale for Avutometinib and FAK Inhibitor Com Anti-Tumor Efficacy in KRAS Mutant and Wild-Type LGSOC models



LGSOC Unmet Need & Opportunity

- LGSOC is a less common type of ovarian cancer that is often diagnosed in younger women
 - LGSOC is a unique disease that is distinct from high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC) in its pa protracted clinical course and low response to chemotherapy and thus requires a more tailored the
 - \circ An estimated 1,000-2,000 patients are diagnosed with LGSOC per year in the U.S., with prevalence
- · There are currently no approved therapies specifically indicated for recurrent LGSOC
 - Recent clinical trials in recurrent LGSOC showed that standard-of-care chemo and endocrine theral ineffective (6-13% ORR).
 - LGSOC has a chemo-resistant nature and optimal treatment has not yet been defined. NCCN guide clinical trials and observation highlighting the lack of approved & effective therapies
- LGSOC is known to be driven by the MAPK (RAS) pathway in ≥70% of patients
- A phase I/II study in the UK (FRAME) evaluated the combination of avutometinib and defactinib
 - Results in recurrent LGSOC showed a <u>46%</u> confirmed ORR with durable responses and favorable sa
- RAMP 201: A registration-directed Phase 2 trial of avutometinib and avutometinib + defactinib in recurrer
 - Updated data from ASCO 2023 showed a <u>45%</u> confirmed ORR in the combination arm with tumor evaluable patients

Breakthrough Therapy Designation was granted for avutometinib and defactinib in recurrent LGSO or more prior lines of therapy



References: Monk et al., The Evolving Landscape of Chemotherapy in Newly Diagnosed Advanced Epithelial Ovarian Cancer, 2019; Slomovitz et al., Le ovarian cancer: State of the Science, 2020; Grisham et al., Low-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer: Current Treatment Paradigms and Future Directions, 2 Project GENIE Cohort v9.0-public and Verastem unpublished analysis; Banerjee et al., Phase I study of the combination of the dual RAF/MEK inhibitor FAK inhibitor defactinib: Results of efficacy in low grade serous ovarian cancer, ESMO 2021; Malpica et al., Interobserver and intraobserver variability system for grading ovarian serous carcinoma, 2007; NCCN guidelines v1.2023; Zwimpfer et al. Cancer treatment Reviews 112 (2023).

RAMP 201 (ENGOTov60/GOG3052): Registration-Directed Phase 2 Avutometinib ± Defactinib in Patients with Recurrent LGSOC



RAMP 201 ASCO 2023 Update

ASCO 2023 data Updated Data from Part A of RAMP 201

	Avutometinib + Defactinib			
	Total (n=29)			
	45% (13) 95% Cl: (26%, 64%)			
ORR, % (n)	KRAS mt 60% (9/15)	KRAS wt 29% (4/14)		
Tumor shrinkage, % (n)	86% (25)			
Median Time to Response	5.5 months (range 1.6-14.7 months)			
Relative Dose Intensity	83% ± 20%			

- 29 patients were evaluable for efficacy with a minimum follow-up of 12 months and 13 (45%) patients remain on study treatme
- Patients were heavily pretreated with a median of 4 prior systemic regimens (up to 11)
 - 3 out of 4 patients who received prior MEK inhibitors responded to the combination
- Median duration of response and median progression free survival have not been reached
 - Safety and tolerability continued to be favorable and consistent with previously reported data
- The discontinuation rate due to ≥ I adverse event was 12% in the combination overall to date (4.9% due to elevated blc
 Finalizing the design of a randomized confirmatory trial with the FDA, which is planned to begin in the second half of 2023
- Thanking the design of a randomized comminatory that with the PDA, which is planned to begin in the second han of 2025

"These results demonstrate avutometinib in combination with defactinib can deliver high response rates for patients with recu promising safety profile to date. It is particularly encouraging to see extensive tumor shrinkage in women who have had seven including prior MEK inhibitors. These latest findings suggest the combination may offer a new treatment option for women wit cancer, and we are hopeful it will become the new standard of care." –Dr. Susana Banerjee, MBBS, MA PhD, FRCP, global and lead im Consultant Medical Oncologist at The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust and Team Leader in Women's Cancers at The Institute of Cancer VERASTEM

Reference: Banerjee et al., ASCO June 2023

Recent LGSOC Trials with Standard of Care Highlight High Unmet I in Recurrent LGSOC

Trial	Median Number of Prior lines of Therapy	Prior MEK Allowed	Prior Bevaciz umab	Therapy	Response Rate ORR	Image Assessment	Median PFS Months (95% CI)
COC 2011	2	Nia	*1 our %	Standard of Care	6% ^ 95% CI: (3%, 12%)	INV	7.2 (5.6-9.9)
GOG 281	(1-10))	LOW /6	Trametinib	26%^ 95% CI: (19%, 35%)	INV	13.0 (9.9-15.0)
MIL O ²	2	NIs	*1 %	Standard of Care	3% 95% Cl: (7%, 21%)	BICR	10.6 (9.2 to 14.5)
MILO ²	(1-8)	INO	* Low %	Binimetinib	16% 95% CI: (11%, 22%)	BICR	9.1 (7.3-11.3)

¹ Study GOG 281 trial Gershenson et al., Lancet 2022

SoC = Standard of Care

² MILO Study Monk et al., J Clin Oncol 2020.

GOG 281: (chemotherapy / endocrine therapy) PLD (liposomal doxorubicin), paclitaxel, topotecan, letrozole or tamoxifen INV = Investigator BICR = Blinded inde PFS = Progression CI = confidence inte

* Low historical use of bevacizumab during trial conduct. % not reported MILO: no more than 3 lines of prior chemotherapy

MILO: (chemotherapy only) PLD (liposomal doxorubicin), paclitaxel or topotecan



Current Trials with Combination of Avutometinib and Defactinib Consistent Overall Response Rate of ~45%

Trial	Median Number of Prior lines of Therapy	Prior MEK Allowed	Prior Bevaciz umab	Therapy	Response Rate ORR	Image Assessment	Median PFS Months (95% CI)
FRAME	3	Yes	12 %	Avutometinib + Defactinib	46%^ 95% CI: (26%, 67%)	INV	23 (11 - NR)
RAMP 201 Part A (ASCO 2023 data) ²	4	Yes	65%	Avutometinib + Defactinib	45% 95% CI: (26%, 64%) 52%*	BICR	Not Yet Reached

¹ Banerjee et al., ESMO Sept 2021

² Banerjee et al., ASCO June 2023

* Confirmed + Uncon

**12% discontinuation in all combination pts (Part A + B (n=81): 4.9%

INV = Investigator BICR = Blinded independent PFS = Progression free surv



Go Forward Regimen: Combination of Avutometinib and Defactinib Initial Data from RAMP 201 Trial Reinforce Findings from FRAME Trial



RAMP 201: Safety and Tolerability Profile of Avutometinib + Defactin No New Safety Signals; Few Discontinuations Due to Adverse Events

Most Common Treatment-Related Adverse Events (>20%) in All Treated Patients

- Majority of adverse events are mild to moderate and manageable/reversible¹
- Few discontinuations due to adverse events (12.3% in combo due to ≥ 1 TEAE 4.9% due to elevated blood CPK*)

* No association to date with clinically significant toxicities, including rhabdomyolysis

Avutometinib + Defactinib (n=81					
	Any Grade	G			
Nausea, n (%)	50 (61.7)				
Diarrhea, n (%)	40 (49.4)				
Blood CPK increased, n (%)	39 (48.1)				
Oedema peripheral, n (%)	34 (42.0)				
Vomiting, n (%)	30 (37.0)				
Vision blurred, n (%)	29 (35.8)				
Dermatitis acneiform, n (%)	28 (34.6)				
Fatigue, n (%)	27 (33.3)				
Rash, n (%)	25 (30.9)				
Dry skin, n (%)	18 (22.2)				
Anemia, n (%)	14 (17.3)				

VERASTEM ONCOLOGY

Reference: Banerjee et al., ASCO June 2023; ¹ J Clin Oncol 41, 2023 (suppl 16; abstr 5515)

Plan to File for Accelerated Approval based on Completed RAMP 2(and FRAME Study Results

Update

- Combination of avutometinib with defactinib selected as go forward treatment regimen
- Combination development continues in all recurrent LGSOC, regardless of KRAS status
- Encouraging efficacy results include independently confirmed responses
- No new safety signals; few discontinuations due to adverse events

Next Steps

- Target enrollment for primary analysi combination has been achieved
- Plan to file for accelerated approval b totality of the data from the RAMP 2 studies
- Continued enrollment in RAMP 201 only is planned to expand clinical expandicipation of initiation of a confirmation of a conf
- The Company will provide an update with the FDA on the confirmatory st
- The Company is planning a RAMP 20 ASCO 2023



Comprehensive approach to establish more complete blockade of RAS pathway & I pathways

	Indication	Prevalence	Regimen	Setting
Gynecologic	LGSOC	Prevalence ¹ : 6K	Avutometinib + defactinib	Relapsed Refractory molecularly profiled LGSOC
Cancers	Gynecologic Basket*	Incidence ⁶⁻¹⁰ : 85K	Avutometinib + defactinib	Recurrent RAS Pathway-driven (RAS/RAF/NFI) endometrioid canc ovarian cancer, high-grade serous ovarian cancer or cervical cancer
	KRASCIOC	Incidence ^{2,3} :	Avutometinib + AMGEN sotorasib	Recurrent KRAS GI2C with prior KRAS GI2C inhibitor(i) treatme GI2Ci naïve
NSCLC Adenocarcinoma	KRAS GIZC	114K 13%	Avutometinib + MIRATI	Recurrent KRAS GI2C with prior KRAS GI2Ci treatment that pro
	BRAF mt	Incidence ^{2,3} :	Avutometinib + defactinib	Recurrent BRAFV600E & non-V600E mutant NSCLC
Pancreatic	PDAC	Incidence ⁴ : 98%	Avutometinib + defactinib + gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel	Previously untreated (front-line) metastatic pancreatic ductal adenc (PDAC)
CRC	KRAS mt*	Incidence ⁵ : 45%	Avutometinib + cetuximab	Recurrent metastatic KRAS mt
Breast Cancer	ER+*	Incidence ⁵ : 279K	Avutometinib + abemaciclib + fulvestrant	Recurrent ER+/HER2- breast cancer following progression on CDK aromatase inhibitor
Melanoma	BRAFV600E*	Incidence ⁴ : 54%	Avutometinib + pembrolizumab	Recurrent BRAFV600E/K or NRAS (Phase I only) mutant Melanon progression on prior anti-PD1 therapy

¹ References: Monk, Randall, Grisham, The Evolving Landscape of Chemotherapy in Newly Diagnosed Advanced Epithelial Ovarian Cancer, Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book: 2019; Slomovitz, Gourley, Carey, Malpica, Shih, Huntsman, Fader,, Grishan State of the Science; Gynecol Oncol; 2020. Grisham, Iyer, Low-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer: Current Treatment Paradigms and Future Directions; Curr Treat Options Oncology; 2018; Globocan 2020; ³Pakkala and Ramalingam JCI Insight 2018] *Cancer J Clin* 2020;70:7-30; ⁴Cancer Statistics 2020, Siegel et. al. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2020;70:7-30; ⁴Cancer Statistics 2020, Siegel et. al. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2020;70:7-30; ⁴Cancer Statistics 2020, Siegel et. al. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2020;70:7-30; ⁴Cancer Statistics 2020, Siegel et. al. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2020;70:7-30; ⁴Cancer Statistics 2020, Siegel et. al. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2020;70:7-30; ⁴Cancer Statistics 2020, Siegel et. al. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2020;70:7-30; ⁴Cancer J Clin 2020;70:7-30; ⁴Cancer Statistics 2020, Siegel et. al. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2020;70:7-30; ⁴Cancer Statistics 2018; ⁴Cancer Statistics 2018; ⁴Cancer Statistics 2018; ⁴Outrinous ovarian cancer (Hada et al., 2021); ⁵90% of Ovarian Cancer is Epithelial Ovarian Cancer-facts-and-figures/2018/cancer-facts-and-figures/2018/cancer-facts-and-figures/2018/cancer-facts-and-figures/2018/2018/Cancer-facts-and-figures/2018/2050; ¹⁰HGSOC the most common type of ovarian cancer, accounting for approximately 75% (https://ocrahope.org/news/high-grade-serous-carcinoma/#;~:text=High%2Dgrade%20serous%20serois%20sanother%20type%20is%20specified.)



Robust Clinical Program: Avutometinib in multiple combinations acr RAS/MAPK pathway-driven tumors

INDICATION	REGIMEN	STUDY NAME	PRECLINICAL	PHASE I	PHASE 2	PHASE 3
LGSOC	Avutometinib + defactinib	RAMP 201			R	egistration-directed tria
R/R LGSOC	Avutometinib + defactinib	IST-FRAME				onort fully enrolled
Gynecological Cancers (RAS Pathway-driven) ²	Avutometinib + defactinib	IST				
Mesonephric ²	Avutometinib + defactinib	IST				
R/R NSCLC (BRAF mt)	Avutometinib + defactinib	RAMP 202				
R/R NSCLC (KRAS GI2C)	Avutometinib + sotorasib	RAMP 203				
R/R NSCLC (KRAS GI2C)	Avutometinib + adagrasib	RAMP 204				
Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma	Avutometinib + gemcitabine/nab- paclitaxel + defactinib	RAMP 205				
R/R NSCLC (KRAS mt)	Avutometinib + everolimus (mTORi)	IST				
R/R Colorectal Cancer (KRAS mt)	Avutometinib + cetuximab (EGFRi)	IST				
ER+ Breast Cancer	Avutometinib + abemaciclib + fulvestrant	IST				
BRAFV600E Melanoma ²	Avutometinib + pembrolizumab	IST				
						^I FDA Breakthrough TI



Key Financial Statistics

As of and for the quarter ended March 31, 2023

Cash, cash equivalents & investments	\$111.2M
GAAP Operating Expenses	\$15.7M
Non-GAAP Operating Expenses*	\$17.8M
Shares Outstanding	I 6.7M**

Sources of Non-Dilutive Capital

Oxford Finance LLC Credit Facility

- Up to \$150M available in a series of term loans
 - \$40M term loans outstanding as of March 2023.
 - Remaining \$110M available upon achievement of pre-defined milestones or at lender's discretion
- Floating interest rate, subject to a floor and a cap; 5% final payment charge, and loan subject to 1-3% early payr
- Interest only payments through April 2025
- No financial covenants .

Secura Bio, Inc. (Secura) Asset Purchase Agreement – COPIKTRA

- Regulatory and commercial milestone payments up to \$95M
- Entitled to receive 50% of royalties, milestones, and sublicensee revenue payments made to Secura related to C •
- Low double-digit royalties on annual net sales over \$100M in US, EU, and UK



VERASTEM *QI 2023 GAAP operating expenses - \$15.71M plus change in FV of preferred stock tranche liability of \$3.43 minus QI 2023 stock compensation of \$1.31M = \$17.83M QI 2023 non-GAAP operating expenses.

**Adjusted for Reverse Split which was effective May 31, 2023. Excludes Series A Preferred (0.8M Shares on as-converted basis) and Series B Preferred (4.2M Shares on as-converted basis).

Avutometinib RAF/MEK Clamp Program Overview

Avutometinib is a Unique Small Molecule RAF/MEK Clamp

References: Ishii et al., Cancer Res, 2013; Lito et al., Cancer Cell, 2014

Avutometinib is a Unique RAF/MEK Clamp which Induces Inactive Complexes of MEK with ARAF, BRAF & CRAF

Contrasting mechanism of action vs. trametinib

Avutometinib Inhibits Cell Proliferation Across Multiple RAS/MAPK Alterations and Multiple Solid Tumor Histologies

Optimized Dosing Schedule Defined: Favorable Tolerability Profile with Novel Intermittent Dosing Regime

Summary of Adverse Events Grade \geq 3 Occurring in \geq 5% of patients

	Avutometinib monotherapy Daily at MTD N=6 28-day cycle	RP2D Avutometinib monotherapy 4mg twice weekly N=26 28-day cycle	RP (Avutometini weekly + defa twice N= 21 days of 2
Treatment Related Adverse Event	Grade ≥3	Grade ≥3	Grad
Rash	3 (50%)	5 (19%)	2 (!
CK elevation (Creatine phosphokinase)	I (17%)	2 (8%)	2 (!

¹ Chenard-Poirier, *et al.* ASCO 2017 References: Banerji, Q4 2020 report; Data on file RP2D: recommended phase 2 dosing

Avutometinib Patent Exclusivity

Avutometinib ± Defactinib in Low-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer

LGSOC is a Unique RAS Pathway-Driven Cancer with a High Unme

VERASTEM ONCOLOGY
References: Monk, Randall, Grisham, The Evolving Landscape of Chemotherapy in Newly Diagnosed Advanced Epithelial Ovarian Cancer, Am Soc Clin (Slomovitz, Gourley, Carey, Malpica, Shih, Huntsman, Fader., Grisham et al, Low-Grade serous ovarian cancer: State of the Science; Gynecol Oncol; 2021 Serous Ovarian Cancer: Current Treatment Paradigms and Future Directions; Curr Treat Options Oncology; 2018; Malpica et al., Am J. Surg Pathol 201

Low-Grade and High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer Are Different E

Variable	LGSOC	HGSOC
Nuclear atypia	Uniform round to oval with little variation	+++ Marked variation
Mitotic Index	<12 mitoses per 10 hpf	>12 mitoses per 10 hpf
Chromatin and variation in size of nucleus	Little	Marked (nuclear size ratio ≥3)
Mutation	KRAS ++ BRAF + ER/PR +++	P53 +++ BRCA1/2 +
Precursor	Serous borderline tumor	Tubal intraepithelial neoplasia

HGSOC

Reference: Malpica et al., Am J. Surg Pa

Recurrent LGSOC: High Medical Need No Approved Treatment Options – Limited Benefit from Available Therapie

Recent LGSOC Trials Highlight High Unmet Need

Trial	Number of Prior Systemic Therapies Median (range)	Prior MEK allowed	Prior Bevacizumab	Therapy	Response Rate ORR	Image Assessment	Median PFS Months (95% Cl
GOG	2	Nia	* 1 9/	SoC (n=130)	6% 95% CI: (3%, 12%)	INV	7.2 (5.6-9.9)
2811 (1-10)		Low /6	Trametinib (n=130)	26% 95% CI: (19%, 35%)	INV	13.0 (9.9-15.0)	
MILO ²	2 (1-8)	Nie	* 1 9/	SoC (n=101)	13% 95% Cl: (7%, 21%)	BICR	10.6 (9.2 - 14.5)
		(1-8)	INO	TLOW %	Binimetinib ² (n=198)	16% 95% CI: (11%, 22%)	BICR

¹ Study GOG 281 trial Gershenson et al., Lancet 2022

² MILO Study Monk et al., J Clin Oncol 2020.

* Low historical use of bevacizumab during trial conduct. % not reported MILO: no more than 3 lines of prior chemotherapy

SoC = Standar (endocrine / cł INV = Investig BICR = Blindec PFS = Progres CI = confidenc

NR = Not read

VERASTEM

FRAME Study: Solid Foundation for the Development of Avutometinib in Combination with Defactinib in Recurrent LGSOC (n=24)

RAMP 201 (ENGOTov60/GOG3052): Registration-Directed Phase 2 Avutometinib ± Defactinib in Patients with Recurrent LGSOC

RAMP 201 ASCO 2023 Update

ASCO 2023 data Updated Data from Part A of RAMP 201

	Avutometinib + Defactinib			
	Total (n=29)			
	45% (13) 95%	CI: (26%, 64%)		
ORR, % (n)	KRAS mt 60% (9/15)	KRAS wt 29% (4/14)		
Tumor shrinkage, % (n)	86% (25)			
Median Time to Response	5.5 months (range 1.6-14.7 months)			
Relative Dose Intensity	83% ± 20%			

- 29 patients were evaluable for efficacy with a minimum follow-up of 12 months and 13 (45%) patients remain on study treatme
- Patients were heavily pretreated with a median of 4 prior systemic regimens (up to 11)
 - 3 out of 4 patients who received prior MEK inhibitors responded to the combination
- Median duration of response and median progression free survival have not been reached
 - Safety and tolerability continued to be favorable and consistent with previously reported data
- The discontinuation rate due to ≥ I adverse event was 12% in the combination overall to date (4.9% due to elevated blc
 Finalizing the design of a randomized confirmatory trial with the FDA, which is planned to begin in the second half of 2023

"These results demonstrate avutometinib in combination with defactinib can deliver high response rates for patients with recu promising safety profile to date. It is particularly encouraging to see extensive tumor shrinkage in women who have had seven including prior MEK inhibitors. These latest findings suggest the combination may offer a new treatment option for women wit cancer, and we are hopeful it will become the new standard of care." –Dr. Susana Banerjee, MBBS, MA PhD, FRCP, global and lead im Consultant Medical Oncologist at The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust and Team Leader in Women's Cancers at The Institute of Cancer VERASTEM

Recent LGSOC Trials with Standard of Care Highlight High Unmet I in Recurrent LGSOC

Trial	Median Number of Prior lines of Therapy	Prior MEK Allowed	Prior Bevaciz umab	Therapy	Response Rate ORR	Image Assessment	Median PFS Months (95% CI)
GOG 281 ¹ (2	No	* Low %	Standard of Care	6% ^ 95% CI: (3%, 12%)	INV	7.2 (5.6-9.9)
	(1-10)			Trametinib	26%^ 95% CI: (19%, 35%)	INV	13.0 (9.9-15.0)
MILO ²	2 (1-8)	NIs	No * Low %	Standard of Care	3% 95% Cl: (7%, 21%)	BICR	10.6 (9.2 to 14.5)
		(I-8) No		Binimetinib	16% 95% CI: (11%, 22%)	BICR	9.1 (7.3-11.3)

¹ Study GOG 281 trial Gershenson et al., Lancet 2022

SoC = Standard of Care

² MILO Study Monk et al., J Clin Oncol 2020.

GOG 281: (chemotherapy / endocrine therapy) PLD (liposomal doxorubicin), paclitaxel, topotecan, letrozole or tamoxifen INV = Investigator BICR = Blinded inde PFS = Progression CI = confidence inte

* Low historical use of bevacizumab during trial conduct. % not reported MILO: no more than 3 lines of prior chemotherapy

MILO: (chemotherapy only) PLD (liposomal doxorubicin), paclitaxel or topotecan

Current Trials with Combination of Avutometinib and Defactinib Consistent Overall Response Rate of ~45%

Trial	Median Number of Prior lines of Therapy	Prior MEK Allowed	Prior Bevaciz umab	Therapy	Response Rate ORR	Image Assessment	Median PFS Months (95% CI)
FRAME	3	Yes	12 %	Avutometinib + Defactinib	46%^ 95% Cl: (26%, 67%)	INV	23 (11 - NR)
RAMP 201 Part A (ASCO 2023 data) ²	4	Yes	65%	Avutometinib + Defactinib	45% 95% Cl: (26%, 64%) 52%*	BICR	Not Yet Reached

^I Banerjee et al., ESMO Sept 2021

² Banerjee et al., ASCO June 2023

* Confirmed + Uncon

**12% discontinuation in all combination pts (Part A + B (n=81): 4.9%

INV = Investigator BICR = Blinded independen PFS = Progression free surv

RAMP 201 Part A: Heavily Pre-Treated Patient Population Prior Platinum-Based Chemotherapy, Endocrine Therapy, Bevacizumab in Most Patients; Prior MEK Inhibitor Therapy was Permitted

	Avutor	Avutometinib +			
	KRAS mt (n=16)	KRAS wt (n=17)	Total (n=33)	KRAS mt (n=16)	KRAS v (n=15
Age (yrs), median (min, max)	58 (27, 72)	48 (27, 74)	51 (27,74)	61 (29,71)	50 (30,
ECOG PS, n (%)					
0	8 (50)	15 (88)	23 (70)	11 (69)	9 (60)
1	8 (50)	2 (12)	10 (30)	5 (31)	6 (40)
Number of Prior Systemic Regimens, median (min, max)	4 (1,10)	3 (1, 9)	3 (1,10)	4 (1,8)	5 (2, 1
Prior platinum-based chemotherapy, n (%)	15 (94)	17 (100)	32 (97)	16 (100)	15 (100
Prior MEK inhibitor therapy, n (%)	5 (31)	5 (29)	10 (30)	2 (13)	2 (13)
Prior Bevacizumab, n (%)	8 (50)	8 (47)	16 (48)	7 (44)	13 (87)
Prior Hormonal therapy, n (%)	II (69)	13 (76)	24 (73)	15 (94)	13 (87)

RAMP 201 Part A: Evaluable Patient Population*

Positive ORR Confirmed by Blinded Independent Central Review (BICR) Support Avutometinik Defactinib as Go Forward Regimen in LGSOC - Regardless of KRAS Status

		Avutometinib	Avutometinib + Defa			
	KRAS mt (n=15)	KRAS wt (n=15)	Total (n=30)	KRAS mt (n=15)	KRAS wt (n=14)	
Confirmed ORR, n (%)	2 (13)	l (6)	3 (10) 95% CI (2%, 24%)	9 (60)	4 (29)	9
CR, n (%)	I (7)	0	I (3)	0	0	
PR, n (%)	I (7)	I (6)	2 (7)	9** (60)	4 (29)	
SD, n (%)	12 (80)	3 (8)	25 (83)	6 (40)	7 (50)	
Disease control rate ^{***} , n (%)	14 (93)	14 (88)	28 (93)	15 (100)	II (79)	
PD, n (%)	(7)	2 (13)	3 (10)	0	3 (21)	
Confirmed + unconfirmed ORR, n (%)	2 (13)	l (6)	3 (10)	11 (73)	4 (29)	

* Evaluable for Efficacy: At least one blinded imaging assessment in 31 of 33 and 29 of 31 patients enrolled in respective treatment arms

** Includes patient deepened to CR at last assessment; CR not yet confirmed

****Disease control rate (SD + PR + CR) at 8 weeks.

BICR, blinded independent central review; mt, mutant; ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; with VERASTEM

Go Forward Regimen: Combination of Avutometinib and D High Disease Control Rate + Tumor Reduction in Recurrent LGSOC

Go Forward Regimen: Combination of Avutometinib and Defactinib Initial Data from RAMP 201 Trial Reinforce Findings from FRAME Trial

RAMP 201: Safety and Tolerability Profile of Avutometinib + Defactin No New Safety Signals; Few Discontinuations Due to Adverse Events

Most Common Treatment-Related Adverse Events (>20%) in All Treated Patients

- Majority of adverse events are mild to moderate and manageable/reversible¹
- Few discontinuations due to adverse events (12.3% in combo due to ≥ 1 TEAE 4.9% due to elevated blood CPK*)

* No association to date with clinically significant toxicities, including rhabdomyolysis

Avutometinib + Defactinib (n=81					
	Any Grade	G			
Nausea, n (%)	50 (61.7)				
Diarrhea, n (%)	40 (49.4)				
Blood CPK increased, n (%)	39 (48.1)				
Oedema peripheral, n (%)	34 (42.0)				
Vomiting, n (%)	30 (37.0)				
Vision blurred, n (%)	29 (35.8)				
Dermatitis acneiform, n (%)	28 (34.6)				
Fatigue, n (%)	27 (33.3)				
Rash, n (%)	25 (30.9)				
Dry skin, n (%)	18 (22.2)				
Anemia, n (%)	14 (17.3)				

VERASTEM ONCOLOGY

Reference: Banerjee et al., ASCO June 2023; ¹ J Clin Oncol 41, 2023 (suppl 16; abstr 5515)

January 2023 data

Plan to File for Accelerated Approval based on Completed RAMP 2(and FRAME Study Results

Update

- Combination of avutometinib with defactinib selected as go forward treatment regimen
- Combination development continues in all recurrent LGSOC, regardless of KRAS status
- Encouraging efficacy results include independently confirmed responses
- No new safety signals; few discontinuations due to adverse events

Next Steps

- Target enrollment for primary analysi combination has been achieved
- Plan to file for accelerated approval b totality of the data from the RAMP 2 studies
- Continued enrollment in RAMP 201 only is planned to expand clinical expandicipation of initiation of a confirmation of a conf
- The Company will provide an update with the FDA on the confirmatory st
- The Company is planning a RAMP 20 ASCO 2023

RAMP 201 Part A Interim Data Support Meaningful Market Potential for Recurrent LGSOC Regardless of KRAS Status with Long Duration of 7

¹ References: Monk, Randall, Grisham, The Evolving Landscape of Chemotherapy in Newly Diagnosed Advanced Epithelial Ovarian Cancer, Am Soc Clin On 2019; Slomovitz, Gourley, Carey, Malpica, Shih, Huntsman, Fader., Grisham et al, Low-Grade serous ovarian cancer: State of the Science; Gynecol Oncol; 20 Iyer, Low-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer: Current Treatment Paradigms and Future Directions; Curr Treat Options Oncology; 2018; Globocan 2020 ² Patient-months of Therapy metric calculated by multiplying relevant incidence/prevalence rate times estimated duration of therapy; represents US market c patient population estimates from Globocan 2020, American Cancer Society 2021, AACR Genie Cohort V9.0 public, and scientific publications. Duration of estimates from clinical studies and clinician experience. Patient-months on therapy is for 2nd-line+ patients
 ³ NSCLC KRAS G12C 2nd line patients (incidence); Pancreatic RAS/RAF mutant 2nd-line patients (incidence); Useal melanoma RAS/RAF mutant 2nd-line patients (incidence)

RAS Pathway-Driven Cancers and Rational Avutometinib Combinations

High Unmet Needs in Additional RAS/MAPK Pathway-Driven Cance

Breadth of potential opportunity 30% of all human cancers are driven by

mutations of the RAS family of genes⁶

Established prognostic significance

· Patients with mutations of the RAS family have

Challenges with conventional approaches

- Modest progress; limited number of approved therapies
- Single agent therapies (e.g., MEK inhibitors) associated with resistance
- Tolerable combination regimens with MEK inhibitors have been challengir
- · Approved RAS inhibitors address only a minority of all RAS mutated canc

VERASTEM

an overall worse prognosis

Incidence References:

¹Reference for RAS mt frequencies – Cox et al. *Nature Reviews* 13: 828, 2014; ²Reference for BRAF mt frequencies – Turski et al. *Mol Cancer Ther* 15: 5 ³50% of NSCLC is adenocarcinoma (Pakkala and Ramalingam JCI Insight 2018); ⁴90% of all uterine cancers are of the endometrial type (ACS); ⁵Cancer Siegel et. al. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2020;70:7-30; ⁶8 out of 10 thyroid cancers are of the papillary type (ACS)⁷CbioPortal References:

McCormick F Clin Cancer Res 15April2015; ⁶Adderley H et al. EBioMedicine 01Mar2019; Papke B et al. Science 17Mar2017; Ryan M et al. Nature Revie Oncology 01Oct2018; NIH cancer.gov/research/key-initiatives/ras

Vertical Blockade: Establishing Avutometinib as the Backbone of Ther RAS/MAPK Pathway-Driven Tumors

Current Challenges

- Blocking any single target in the pathway is insufficient for maxi duration of anti-tumor efficacy
 - e.g. SHP2i, KRAS-G12Ci, KRAS-G12Di, RAFi, MEKi, ERKi
- · Vertical blockade concept is now well established
 - Necessary to block more than I target in the pathway
- Many of these agents (e.g. SHP2i, MEKi) have poor tolerability a and in combination

Solutions offered by Avutometinib

- Vertical blockade (RAF and MEK blockade) in a single drug
- Potential best-in-class tolerability with recommended twice we regimen
 - Should enable tolerable combinations
- Compelling synergy data (preclinical) for avutometinib combina KRAS G12C inhibitors) supporting clinical combinations
- Ongoing clinical combination studies with G12Ci (sotorasib, ad EGFR (cetuximab)

References: ¹ Chen, Mol Cancer Res 2018; ² Banerji, BTOG Dublin, Jan 23, 2019

Parallel Pathway Inhibition: Establishing Avutometinib as the Backbor Therapy for RAS/MAPK Pathway-Driven Tumors

Current Challenges

- Blocking RAS pathway can be circumv parallel pathways
 - e.g. PI3K/AKT/mTOR, FAK, RhoA
- Combinations of MEKi + AKTi have sł tolerability

Solutions offered with Avutometinib

- Promising tolerability and early clinical twice weekly avutometinib opens up i dosing options for combinations
- Compelling preclinical synergy data wi avutometinib in combination with seve cancer agents (e.g. FAKi, mTORi)
- RP2D established for avutometinib + | (defactinib) and for avutometinib + m (everolimus) with twice weekly regime

Preclinical Synergy of Avutometinib in Combination with Promising, for Clinical Investigation

Avutometinib Combinations in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

High Unmet Need in Refractory KRAS & BRAF mt NSCLC Adenoc

Preclinical Synergy of Avutometinib + GI2C Inhibitors in KRAS GI2C

Synergy of avutometinib + G12C inhibitors across G12C mutant NSCLC, CRC & Pancreatic cancer cell lines

		Combined Synergy Score		
Indication	Sensitivity to G12C inhibitors	Avutometinib + sotorasib	Avutometinib + adagrasib	
NSCLC	Moderately sensitive	44.7	44.6	
NSCLC	Sensitive	10.0	3.4	
NSCLC	Insensitive	8.6	12.0	
NSCLC	Sensitive	6.9	5.4	
NSCLC	Moderately sensitive	5.1	ND	
CRC	Sensitive	16.1	18.5	
Panc	Sensitive	2.3	5.3	
	Indication NSCLC NSCLC NSCLC NSCLC NSCLC CRC Panc	Sensitivity to G12C inhibitors NSCLC Moderately sensitive NSCLC Sensitive NSCLC Insensitive NSCLC Insensitive NSCLC Sensitive NSCLC Insensitive NSCLC Sensitive NSCLC Sensitive NSCLC Sensitive NSCLC Sensitive Panc Sensitive	Sensitivity to G12C inhibitors Avutometinib + sotorasib NSCLC Moderately sensitive 44.7 NSCLC Sensitive 10.0 NSCLC Insensitive 8.6 NSCLC Sensitive 6.9 NSCLC Sensitive 5.1 CRC Sensitive 16.1 Panc Sensitive 2.3	

ND: not determined

Acquired Resistance Mechanisms to KRAS GI2Ci Treatment in Patie Further Support Combination of KRAS GI2Ci with Avutometinib

References: ¹Awad MM et al., N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 2382-93; ²Tanaka et al., Cancer Discov 2021;11:1-10

Summary of Putative Mechanisms of Acquired Resistance to Adagrasib Treatment

- Mechanisms of acquired resistance to K adagrasib treatment in patients recently
- The main resistance alterations occurre
 - RTK mts or amplifications
 - KRAS mts or amplification
 - NRAS mt
 - BRAFV600E, BRAF or CRAF fus
 - MAP2K1 (MEK1) mt/deletion
- Avutometinib has shown activity against NRAS, BRAF and CRAF modifications

atoracib	20 State	
solorasib	Adagrasib	Avut
29	3	
435	382	
157	85	
11	235	
438	216	
	29 435 157 11 438	29 3 435 382 157 85 11 235 438 216

1 - 30 nM 30 - 150 nM 150 - 50

Reference: Andrew Aguirre, unpublished

RAMP 203: Phase 1/2 Trial of Avutometinib + LUMAKRASTM (Sotor KRAS G12C Advanced NSCLC

RAMP 204: Phase 1/2 Trial of Avutometinib + KRAZATITM (Adagrasib KRAS G12C Advanced NSCLC

RAMP 202: Phase 2 Trial of Avutometinib + Defactinib in BRAF mt N

Additional Avutometinib Combinations for Pancreatic, Colorectal and Melanoma

Preclinical Synergy of Avutometinib/FAK Inhibition + Chemotherapy KRAS/p53 pancreatic cancer mouse model

- The combination of avutometinib + FAKi induces tumor growth inhibition and increases surv does not induce tumor regression
- ✓ Addition of chemo (gemcitabine + paclitaxel) to avutometinib/FAKi induces tumor regression

Collaboration with David DeNardo, Washington University; unpubl

RAMP 205: Phase 1/2 Trial of Avutometinib/Defactinib + GEMZAR[™] (Gemcitabine)/ABRAXANE[™] (Nab-paclitaxel) in Front Line Metasta Pancreatic Cancer

Combination of Avutometinib with anti-EGFR mAb Induces Tumor Regression in a KRAS mt Colorectal PDX Model

- Avutometinib + anti-EGFR (pa induces tumor regression in a CRC patient-derived xenograf
- G12Ci + anti-EGFR (sotorasib panitumumab and adagrasib + have shown partial responses i CRC (Fakih et al. ESMO 2021; ESMO 2021)
- These data support the on clinical evaluation of avuto cetuximab (anti-EGFR) for of KRAS mt CRC (NCT05)

Combination of Avutometinib + FAK Inhibition with Checkpoint Inh Induces Tumor Regression in an IO-resistant BRAFV600E melanom:

- Avutometinib + IO (anti-PD-1 + induces tumor regression in an l syngeneic BRAFV600E melanom (YUMM 1.7)
- FAK inhibition deepens and sust avutometinib-induced tumor reg
- These data support the imn evaluation of avutometinib pembrolizumab (anti-PD-I) of BRAF V600E melanoma

Collaboration with Silvio Gutkind, UCSD; unpublished

Avutometinib Development in Multiple Combinations Across RAS P Driven Tumors with Potential Early Read-Outs in 2H 2023

Indication	Study
KRAS GI2C NSCLC	RAMP 203: Avutometinib/Sotorasib combo
KRAS GI2C NSCLC	RAMP 204: Avutometinib/Adagrasib combo
Pancreatic	RAMP 205: Avutometinib/Gem/Abraxane/Defactinib c
KRAS mt NSCLC	Avutometinib/Everolimus combo*
KRAS mt CRC	Avutometinib/Cetuximab combo*
ER+ Breast	Avutometinib/Abemaciclib/fulvestrant combo*
RAS/RAF/NFI Gynecological	Avutometinib/Defactinib combo*
BRAFV600E Melanoma	Avutometinib/Pembrolizumab combo*

*Investigator Sponsored Trials

Confidential. © Verastem Inc. 2022

Experienced Senior Management Team

Brian Stuglik Chief Executive Officer

- Global VP & Chief Marketing Officer – Lilly Oncology
- Founding Member Proventus Health Solutions

Daniel Paterson President and Chief Operating Officer

- CEO The DNA Repair Co. (now On-Q-ity)
- PharMetrics (now IMS), Axion

Cathy C Chief Organ Effectiveness

Principal –
Ironwood, A Tufts Healtl

Jonathan Pachter, Ph.D. Chief Scientific Officer

- Head of Cancer Biology OSI (now Astellas)
- Schering-Plough

Louis Denis, M.D. Chief Medical Officer

- CMO, Asana BioSciences
- Boehringer-Ingelheim, Pfizer

Hagop Y MSc, M.I Head of Me • CMO, BINI

Progenics,
CMO & EV SVP, Imclon

